1 2 3 4	Kelly J. Brinkman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6238 GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY 4496 South Pecos Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89121 (702) 436-2600(Telephone) (702) 436-2650 (Fax)	E-Filed on 4/16/07
5	Attorneys for Administrative Creditor	
6		
7	UNITED STATES BANKRUP	TCY COURT
8	DISTRICT OF NEVA	ADA
9	In re:	Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR
10	USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY, Debtor.	Case No. BK-S-06-10726 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10727 LBR
11	In re:	Case No. BK-S-06-10728 LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10729 LBR
12	USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC, Debtor.	Cuse No. BR-5-00-10727 EBR
13	In re:	Chapter 11
14	USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor.	Jointly Administered Under Case No.
15	In re:	BK-S-06-10725 LBR
16	USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC, Debtor.	RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF
17	In re:	HEARING REGARDING OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF THE USACM
18	USA SECURITIES, LLC, Debtor.	LIQUIDATING TRUST TO CLAIMS ASSERTING
19	Affects: All Debtors	ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE STATUS
20	USA Commercial Mortgage Company USA Securities, LLC	
21	USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC	Date: April 26, 2007
22	USA First Trust Deed Fund, LL	Time: 9:30 a.m.
23	Goold Patterson Ales & Day ("GPAD") hereby	file this Resnanse ("Resnanse") to the
24	Omnibus Objection of the USACM Liquidating T	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	Administrative Expense Status ("Objection").	rust (1100t) to Claims 1100ting
26	The Objection to GPAD's administrative portion of	of its claim is without merit and should
27	be overruled. First, the Trust has provided no factua	
28 GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY ATTORNEYS AT LAW 4496 SOUTH PECOS ROAD LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89121 (702) 436-2600	be overruled. Thist, the Trust has provided no factua	i grounds to substantiate disanowing

28
GOOLD PATTERSON
ALES & DAY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
4496 SOUTH PECOS ROAD
LAS VEGAS,

NEVADA 89121

GPAD's administrative claim. That is, the Objection merely asserts that the claims should be disallowed based on a vague "does not qualify as an administrative expense."

Second, GPAD's post-petition work qualifies as an actual and necessary cost and expense of preserving the estate under both §§ 503(b)(1)(A) and 503(b)(3)(D), entitling GPAD to administrative priority. Specifically, the following work was done post-petition in connection with GPAD's administrative claim:

Client Number	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1133.001	Initial letter to Mr. Allison shortly after the bankruptcy filing to advise him of the litigation cases GPAD was handling and to seek guidance as to the handling of them.	\$131.05
1133.101	Included in error and should be deleted.	\$437.72
1133.102	Proceed with mandatory Nevada Supreme Court filings as appellee/cross appellant, and to consult with the debtor's bankruptcy counsel to prepare them for taking over the case. The work was to defend a judgment worth about \$70,000, and to seek reversal of the trial court's reduction of the award to the debtor of \$20,000. The settlement judge agreed with GPAD's position on the appeal, including reversal as to the \$20,000 award.	\$5,796.99
1133.109	Proceeding with minimal duties as plaintiff in a case to recover about \$117,000 from defendant under a written contract, and to inform debtor's counsel about the case prior to their taking it over.	\$1,512.39
1133.114	Work to preserve debtor's position as counterclaimant in a federal court case, and to consult with debtor's counsel about our proceeding with or their taking over the case.	\$4,975.19
2800.033	Included in error and should be deleted.	\$1,022.02

BACKGROUND

On or about November 9, 2006, GPAD filed (directly and through BMC) a proof of claim and administrative claim request (the "GPAD Claim") against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (the "Debtor"). See GPAD Claim filed against the Debtor attached hereto as Exhibit A, which is referenced on the Claims Register as Claim Nos. 761 and 968. Additionally, the GPAD Claim only seeks administrative expense status for \$12,416.62, not the \$79,372.96 Response to Omnibus objection.doc

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28
GOOLD PATTERSON
ALES & DAY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
4496 SOUTH PECOS ROAD
LAS VEGAS,
NEVADA 89121

alleged by the Trust.

Pursuant to Objection, the Trust objects to GPAD's Claim on the grounds that this claim is not "the kind of expense that generally qualifies as administrative under section 503(b)," Further, the Trust alleges that GPAD "submitted no evidence in support of [its] alleged status." See the Objection as page 6, lines 1-3. The Trust, however, provides no support for its Objection and is contrary to the evidence submitted with the GPAD Claim.

ARGUMENT

1. The Trust's Vague and Unsubstantiated Objection Fails to Defeat GPAD's Properly Supported Administrative Claim.

As noted in the Objection by the Trust, a claim constitutes prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim pursuant to FRBP 3001(f). See Objection, page 5, ¶ 3. In order to overcome a claimant's prima facie case, however, a party objecting to the proof of claim must deduce facts tending to defeat the claim. See *In re Nejedlo*, 324 B.R. 697, 699 (Bankr. E.D. Wis. 2005) ("Under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), a properly executed and filed proof of claim constitutes prima facie evidence of both the validity and amount of the claim. According to the courts that have considered this Rule, a party objecting to the claim must produce evidence to rebut the claim or the claim will prevail; the mere denial of the validity or amount is not sufficient to sustain an objection to a claim") (emphasis added) (citing In re Glenn, 100 B.R. 763, 766 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1989)); In re Reilly, 245 B.R. 768, 773 (B.A.P. 2d Cir. 2000) ("To overcome this prima facie evidence, the objecting party must come forth with evidence which, if believed, would refute at least one of the allegations essential to the claim."); see also In re Woodmere Investors LP, 178 B.R. 346, 354 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1995). Here, all the Trust has come forth with is a conclusory allegation that GPAD's Claim "is not the kind of expense that generally qualifies as administrative under Section 503(b)." The Objection, however, sets forth no facts to defeat GPAD's claim.

GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY ATTORNEYS AT LAW 4496 SOUTH PECOS ROAD LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89121 GPAD submits that its Claim does provide adequate information for its basis, and, accordingly, the Trust's mere assertion, without more, does not warrant disallowance of this Claim.

Basically, the Trust has failed to sustain its burden of proof to overcome GPAD Claim's validity. In a case such as this, where the Objection is merely a formal objection without evidence, the Court should summarily overrule the Objection. See Garner v. Shier (In re Garner), 246 B.R. 617, 623 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2000) ("[In this case, the Debtor] proffered no evidence whatsoever, choosing instead to stand on a mere formal objection that the proofs of claim were not good enough . . . The consequence of the status of the proof of claim as constituting prima facie evidence of validity and amount is that the evidence of the proof of claim is strong enough to prevail over a mere formal objection without more. In effect, one who chooses to make a mere formal objection is electing to narrow the issue to whether the proof of claim is executed and filed in accordance with the rules.") (citations omitted); see also In re Schlehr, 290 B.R. 387, 395 (Bankr. D. Mont. 2003) ("Upon objection, the proof of claim provides 'some evidence as to its validity and amount' and is 'strong enough to carry over a mere formal objection without more.") (citing Wright v. Holm (In re Holm), 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir.1991) (quoting 3 L. King, Collier on Bankruptcy § 502.02, at 502-22 (15th ed.1991)).

2. GPAD's Post-Petition Work Was an Actual and Necessary Cost and Expense of Preserving the Estate Under Section 503(b)(1)(A).

Section 503(b)(1)(A) provides for an administrative claim for the "actual and necessary costs and expenses of preserving the estate." One of the main policies underlying this section is to provide an incentive for creditors and others to continue or commence doing business with an insolvent entity. In general, for a claim to qualify as a necessary preservation expense, the claim must have arisen from a transaction with the estate and it must have benefited the estate.

Both of these requirements are easily satisfied here. The work done included a small Response to Omnibus objection.doc

charge to inform the debtor in possession of the ongoing work GPAD was doing on litigation cases that have deadlines and thus necessary work. Additional work was also performed on various matters to: (1) preserve (and enhance) a judgment by defending an appeal (including making a cross appeal); (2) proceed with a collection case, but only doing minimal work until debtor's counsel took the case; (3) preserve a claim in a case; and (4) assist the debtor's counsel with the transfer of those cases to debtor's litigation attorneys.

3. <u>GPAD's Post-Petition Work Resulted in a Substantial Contribution under Section 503(b)(3)(D) and 503(b)(4) Entitling it to Administrative Priority.</u>

Section 503(b)(3)(D) grants an administrative expense priority to the actual, necessary expenses incurred by a creditor in making a substantial contribution to the case. Factors courts have considered in determining whether an entity's participation constitutes a substantial contribution include: (1) whether the services were undertaken solely for the benefit of the party itself or for the benefit of all parties in the case; (2) whether the services were actions that would have been taken by the party on its own behalf, absent an expectation of reimbursement from the estate; (3) whether the party can demonstrate that its actions provided a direct benefit to the estate; (4) whether the benefit conferred upon the estate exceeds the costs sought to obtain that benefit; and (5) whether the actions were duplicative of those being taken by other parties in the case.

Creditors have been held to have made substantial contributions where their actions led to negotiations that resulted in funds becoming available to the estate. See In re United States Lines, Inc., 103 B.R. 427 (Banks. S.D.N.Y. 1989) (negotiation of a settlement agreement creating funds for estate constituted a substantial contribution).

As indicated above, GPAD performed legal services that were not yet being performed by the Debtors' estates. This work included defending a judgment worth about \$70,000 and to seek reversal of a trial court's reduction of an award to the Debtor of \$20,000. The settlement Response to Omnibus objection.doc

judge agreed with GPAD's position on appeal, including reversal of the \$20,000. Such actions, therefore, substantially benefited this estate. Additionally, GPAD spent minimal time in a case where the Debtor was a plaintiff to recover \$117,000 from a defendant and then to inform the Debtor about the case prior to the Debtor taking the matter over. Each of GPAD's actions were minimal, were justified and resulted in a substantial contribution entitling GPAD administrative priority on account of its Claim.

4. Despite the Trust's Contention, GPAD's Claim is Supported with Evidence.

Although the Trust assets that GPAD "submitted no evidence in support of [its] alleged status," this is simply not the case. As can be seen on GPAD's Claim attached as <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto, GPAD specifically broke-down its administrative portion of its claim by specific client matter. Thus, written documentation in support of such claim has already been provided to the Trust. Further, GPAD will, if necessary, submit additional documentation at any evidentiary trial on this matter.¹

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, GPAD respectfully requests that the Court overrule the Objection and grant such other relief as the Court deems proper.

GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY

By: /s/ Kelly J. Brinkman Kelly J. Brinkman Nevada Bar No. 6238 4496 South Pecos Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

The Trust also assets that GPAD did not schedule a notice or hearing for its administrative claim. Although this matter is already set for a hearing, to the extent necessary, GPAD requests permission to file a separate administrative claim request.

Case 06-10725-gwz Doc 3451 Entered 04/16/07 13:14:34 Page 7 of 11

	DDOOR OF OLAWA
	PROOF OF CLAIP
Name of Debtor:	Case Number:
USA Commercial Mortgage Company	06-10725
NOTE: See Reverse for List of Debtors and Case Numbers. This form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative exparising after the commencement of the case. A "request" for payment of administrative expense may be filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503.	pense Check box if you are of an aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating
Name of Creditor and Address:	to your claim. Attach copy of
GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY 4496 S PECOS RD LAS VEGAS NV 89121-5030	Check box if you have never received any notices from the bankruptcy court or BMC Group in this case. Check box if this address on the differs from the address on the life or the box if this address on the life or the box if the box in the life or the life or the box in the life or the life or the box in the life or the life or the box in the life or the box in the life or the life or the box in the life or t
Creditor Telephone Number ()	envelope sent to you by the Bankruptcy Court or BMC, you do not need to file again
Last four digits of account or other number by which creditor identifies do	debtor:
	Check here
1. BASIS FOR CLAIM Goods sold Personal injury/wronoful death	Retiree benefits as defined in 11 U.S.C. § 1114(a) Unremitted principal
Personal injury/wrongful death	1 Manage and 1
Manager de la constant de la constan	Last four digits of your SS #: (not for loan balances)
☐ Money loaned ☐ Other (describe briefly)	Unpaid compensation for services performed from: to to
2. DATE DEBT WAS INCURRED: 1/1/06 = Ongoing	(date)
2. DATE DEBT WAS INCURRED: 1/1/06 = Ongoing 4. CLASSIFICATION OF CLAIM. Check the appropriate box or boxes that be see reverse side for important explanations.	3. IF COURT JUDGMENT, DATE OBTAINED:
200 1010100 state for important explanations.	
UNSECURED NONPRIORITY CLAIM \$ 79,372.96	SECURED CLAIM
Check this box if: a) there is no collateral or lien securing your claim, or b) you exceeds the value of the property securing it, or if c) none or only part of your	your claim Check this box if your claim is secured by collateral (including
enutied to priority.	ur claim is a right of setoff). Brief description of collateral:
UNSECURED PRIORITY CLAIM	I —
Check this box if you have an unsecured claim, all or part of which is entitled to priority.	——————————————————————————————————————
Amount entitled to priority \$	Value of Collateral: \$
	Amount of arrearage and other charges at time case filed included in secured claim, if any: \$
Specify the priority of the claim: Domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B)	
Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$10,000)*, earned within 180 days	Up to \$2,225° of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use -11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).
before filing of the bankruptcy petition or cessation of the debtor's business, whichever is earlier - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(6).
Contributions to an employee benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	Other - Specify applicable paragraph of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a) ().
2017(a)(5).	* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/1/07 and over 2 years thereoffer
5. TOTAL AMOUNT OF CLAIM \$ 79,372.96 \$	with respect to cases commenced on or after the date of adjustment.
AT TIME CASE FILED: (unsecured)	\$ \$ 79,372.96 (secured)
Check this box if claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the p	principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.
6. CREDITS: The amount of all payments on this claim has been credite	ed and deducted for the purpose of making this proof of claim.
running accounts, contracts, court judgments, mortgages, security agree	nents, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of
	cuments are voluminous, attach a summary
process of statute	filing of your claim, enclose a stamped, self-addressed envelope and copy of this
The original of this completed proof of claim form must be sent by ACCEPTED) so that it is actually received on or before 5:00 pm, pr for each person or entity (including individuals, partnerships, corpovernmental units).	prevailing Pacific time, on November 13, 2006 rporations, joint ventures, trusts and
-mo croup . Hiv	Y HAND OR OVERNIGHT DELIVERY TO: MC Group
Attn: USACM Claims Docketing Center Att	ttn: USACM Claims Docketing Center
P. O. BOX 911	330 East Franklin Avenue I Segundo, CA 90245
DATE SIGN and print the name and title, if any, of the cre	reditor or other person authorized to file
11/3/06 this claim (attach copy of power of attorney,	, if any):
relly J.	· DITHVIIGH

Attachment to Proof of Claim

Debtor: USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY Case No. 06-10725-LBR

Creditor: GOOLD PATTERSON ALES & DAY

- 1. Basis for claim. Without limiting the basis for this claim, the consideration for this debt is legal services.
 - 2. <u>Date debt was incurred</u>. January 2006 ongoing.
 - 3. <u>Intentionally omitted.</u>
- 4. Total Amount of Claim at Time Case Filed. \$79,372.96. This amount includes amounts owing as the petition date (\$65,496.10) as well as amounts owing for the post-petition period (\$13,876.86). Creditor reserves the right to file an administrative claim for the post-petition services. Creditor acknowledges, however, that to the extent it receives payment on its administrative claim (\$13,876.86) it does not intend to seek recovery of that post-petition amount in its proof of claim. That is, if Creditor receives the full \$13,876.86 on account of its administrative claim, the amount of this proof of claim would only be \$65,496.10 (\$79,372.96 \$13,699.74).
- 5. <u>Secured Claim</u>. Creditor may be entitled to a setoff, recoupment, counterclaim, or a combination thereof. In addition, to the extent, if any, that Creditor is in possession of assets of the Debtor, Creditor has a security interest therein. Claimant expressly reserves all rights with respect thereto, under § 553 of the Bankruptcy Code, applicable nonbankruptcy law, and otherwise.
 - 6. <u>Intentionally omitted</u>.
 - 7. <u>Intentionally omitted</u>.
- 8. <u>Supporting Documents</u>. Attached are invoice summaries broken down by matter and by pre and post petition work. The detailed invoices with client descriptions are voluminous and contain attorney-client information. Copies of the detailed invoices will be provided upon reasonable request.

Additional Items

<u>Amendment</u>. Creditor specifically reserves the right to amend, supplement, or withdraw this claim at any time.

<u>Priority</u>. Creditor specifically reserves the right to assert any portion of this claim as an administrative-expense priority.

	DESCRIPTION		FEES		COSTS	2	TOTAL
1133.001 General & Miscellaneous		\$	1 730 00	U	25.05		1 7EE OE
1133.102 v. James J. Lee, Esg.		4	44 600 00	٠ (د			1,733.83
	Softlomont in Joseph William	€	14,000.00	9	4,582.54 \$		17,272.54
1133 114 adv. Dolland Moddall	Settlefile III Jones Litigation	9	22,700.20	es)	522.25		23,222.45
2000 001 0 adv. rollarid Weddell		↔	2,736.42	ક્ક	28.26 \$		2.764.68
2800.001 General & Miscellaneous		မ	812.50	€.	12.19		824 60
2800.004 Binford Medical Developers, LLC (\$8,375,000)	(\$8,375,000)	4	3 672 BE	+	-		024.09
2800.007 Castaic Partners III. LLC (\$4.500 000)	(000)	9 6	0,072.00	9 6	+		3,720.13
2800 011 Fiests Develorment Inc	(222)	9	2,400.08	Ð	29.77		2,459.85
2800 042 Livid Land Barrie		59	232.50	မှ	3.49 \$		235.99
2000.012 Lucid Larid Development, LLC		↔	232.50	↔	3.49		235.99
2900 007 MS A C Loan	tures I, LLC Loan	S	2,500.00	ક્ક	'		2.500.00
2000.027 INIS Acquisition Company Loan		8	2,145.00	69	-		2.145.00
2600.033 Fox Hills 216, LLC et al. Loan (\$29,000,000)	(000,000)	\$	1,516.76	မာ	6.97		1.523.73
2800.036 Meadow Creek Partners, LLC Loan (\$19,950,000)	an (\$19,950,000)	€>	1,062.50	မ	31.84		1 004 34
2800.037 BySynergy, LLC Loan (\$4,434,446)	(9)	8	1,415.00	မ	21.23 \$		1 436 23
2800.042 King/Oak Shores II Reorganization	u.	s	845.00	G	12.68 \$		857 68
2800.047 Ten Ninety Loan		s	422.50	65	634 4		100 001
2800.049 Bundy Canyon Land Development, LLC (\$8,900.000)	it, LLC (\$8,900,000)	65	2 480 00	4			770.04
2800.050 Annie Nounna Notes		9	407.50	→ €	+		2,517.20
		9	407.30	0	۳۲۲)		494.81
TOTAL DUE THROUGH 4/12/06		-	R2 074 44	4		(
		•	02,071.11	9	5,424.39	ö	65,496.10

			-					
FILE#	#	DESCRIPTION	·	U U	STSCO		ł	
-	133 001	Concret 8 Missester		2111	0100		IOIAL	Į
-	20.00	i soco i delici a Miscellaneous	6 ;	130 00	4	1 05		424 05
-	122 101	1133 101 pdy Goorge and Valle : 18.	•	20:00	-	3	Α.	02:02
	2	any. George and namerine wiens	G	121 25	4	17		101 40
τ	122 400		•	62.104	9	74.0	_	437.72
-	30.106	193.102 V. Jailes J. Lee, Esq.	€.	3 820 00	4 076	2	L	2000
<u>_</u>	122 400		•	0,020,0	4,970,98		ດັ	2,780.98
-	22.108	1133.109 re. Claim Against James Lee for Settlement in Jones Litigation	G	1 202 50	040.00	0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	0007
	133 111	1133 111 ody Dolland Waddell	•	1,202.00	\$ 7 A	80.		97.710,
	+	ace. Notiging Wedden	v:	4 865 00	110 10	10	_	075 40
~	200 033	2800 033 Eov Hills 216 11 C at all 1 car /#00 000	•	20.0001	2	0.	4	1,8/0,18
1	3	1 ON 1 IIIIS 210, LEC Et al. LOSH (\$29,000,000)	G.	1 007 50	4	1510 0	7	0000
		TOTAL DIE EBOM 442/0000 L BELOTIE	•	00.100,1	9	7	<u>-</u>	70.770,
		1 STAL BOE FROM 4/13/2006 to PRESEN	4	11 546 25	A 722 C	61	4.0	12 070 00
			•					